



Paul E. Helliker  
Director

# Department of Pesticide Regulation



Gray Davis  
Governor  
Winston H. Hickox  
Secretary, California  
Environmental  
Protection Agency

REVISED  
DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION  
PESTICIDE REGISTRATION AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE  
Meeting Minutes – July 19, 2002

Committee Members/Alternates in Attendance:

Bob Hosea, Department of Fish and Game (DFG)  
Claudia Moore, Integrated Waste Management Board (IWMB)  
Syed Ali, State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)  
Barry Wilson, Department of Environmental Toxicology, University of California-Davis (UCD)  
Kathleen Thuner, San Diego County Department of Agriculture  
Rupali Das, Department of Health Services (DHS)  
Rebecca Sisco, University of California, IR-4 Program  
Barbara Todd, Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA)  
Lynn Baker, Air Resources Board (ARB)  
David Rice, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA)  
Tobi Jones, Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR)

Visitors in Attendance:

Andre de Fontaine, Cal EPA  
Stephen Flanagan, IR-4 Program-UCD  
John Pearson, Compliance Service  
Joyce Wilson, UCD  
Kathy Brunetti, DPR  
Bob Elliott, DPR  
Arthur Lawyer, TSG  
Stephen Fagundes, SWRCB  
Katherine Domeny, SWRCB  
Kean Goh, DPR  
Jon Restani, Computer Associate  
Jay Schreider, DPR  
Kevin Keefer, CPHA  
Marshall Lee, DPR

1. Introductions and Committee Business – Tobi Jones, Chairperson
  - a. About 21 people attended the meeting.
  - b. There were no corrections made to the minutes of the previous meeting held on May 17, 2002

***FLEX YOUR POWER!*** For a list of simple ways you can reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see our Web site at <[www.cdpr.ca.gov](http://www.cdpr.ca.gov)>.



2. Evaluation of DPR's Alliance Grants Program–Bob Elliott, DPR

Bob Elliott gave a presentation on the results of an evaluation of DPR's Pest Management Alliance program by the Center for Agricultural Partnerships (CAP). Bob discussed the pest management grants program generally, and how the alliance program fits in. The Evaluation report has specific recommendations for improving the alliance program. A copy of the CAP report is available on-line at <http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/dprgrants.htm>.

3. Nonpoint Source Pollution Plan–Stephen Fagundes, SWRCB

Stephen made a presentation on the *Plan for California's Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program* (NPS Program Plan). This plan was adopted by SWRCB in December 1999. Developed in collaboration with the California Coastal Commission, the NPS Program Plan satisfies both Section 319 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and Section 6217 of the Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments of 1999 and was approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration in July 2000.

Nonpoint source pollution presents the state's most serious threat to its water resources. Six primary sources of NPS pollution have been identified. These sources are: (1) agriculture, including dairies, pesticide runoff, and irrigation return flows; (2) silviculture (timber harvest practices); (3) urban runoff; (4) marina and recreational boating operations; (5) hydromodification activities such as stream channel modification; and (6) destruction of wetlands and riparian areas, which provide critical pollutant filtering capabilities and help manage flood flows.

Working with 19 other State agencies through an interagency coordinating committee, and with stakeholders in watersheds throughout the state, the NPS Program Plan is focused on controlling NPS pollution through the implementation of 61 management measures (MMs). The MMs are the NPS pollution control goals that must be achieved if California is to have waterways that are safe to use and provide habitat for wildlife to thrive. To implement the MMs, dischargers responsible for NPS pollutant discharges are being encouraged, through outreach, education, technical and financial assistance, to control their discharges. Dischargers are expected to implement management practices that will achieve management measure goals.

To clean up the state's waters, the NPS Program Plan is envisioned as a 15-year process built on three-five year planning periods. At the end of each five-year period, an assessment is made evaluating the past five years' planning and implementation efforts, determining if we are pursuing the right course, and adjusting the program where necessary. Stephen stated they are completing the first five-year planning and implementation period and are in the process of evaluating what has been accomplished, and developing a workplan for the next five years.

Through administration of CWA's section 319(h) grant program and the various bond and loan programs the SWRCB and the RWQCB administer, they seek to educate the public as

to its role in controlling NPS pollution through demonstration projects and other outreach activities and materials.

4. Status of Active Ingredients for Risk Characterization-Jay Schreider, DPR

Jay Schreider, Medical Technology Branch, presented an update on the status of active ingredients for risk characterization. A complete listing of active ingredients were provided to the committee.

5. Reevaluation – Considerations for Use of this Authority – Tobi Jones, DPR

The chair presented background on DPR's reevaluation process, and provided a description of the process from DPR's guide and the relevant statutory language. Tobi discussed past and recent uses of the process to collect additional data needed by DPR to evaluate pesticides' behavior or risk. DPR will continue to use this process to request additional data from registrants, and will be discussing this with the PREC.

6. Agenda Items for Next Meeting and Location – Tobi Jones, DPR

One item was presented for consideration. Kathleen Thuner, San Diego County Agricultural Commissioner, has requested information regarding emergency regulation studies involving human participants.

The next meeting will be held on Friday, September 20, 2002, in the Sierra Hearing Room located on the second floor of the Cal/EPA building.

7. Closing Comments – Tobi Jones, DPR

The meeting was adjourned.